

1 QUIN DENVER, Bar #49374
2 Federal Defender
3 LINDA HARTER, Bar # 179741
4 Assistant Federal Defender
5 801 I Street, 3rd Floor
6 Sacramento, California 95814
7 Telephone: (916) 498-5700

8
9 Attorney for Defendant
10 STEPHANIE FINCH-BROOKS

11
12 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
14 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15
16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. Mag. No. 04-373 KJM
17 Plaintiff,)
18) STIPULATION AND ORDER
19 v.)
20)
21 STEPHANIE FINCH-BROOKS,) Date: August 4, 2005
22) Time: 10:00 A.M.
23)
24 Defendant.) Judge: Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller
25
26

27 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto through
28 their respective counsel, MATTHEW S. BLOCK, Certified Law Student,
attorney for Plaintiff, LINDA HARTER, Assistant Federal Defender,
attorney for defendant, that the current Judgment and Sentencing of
July 14, 2005 be vacated and a new Judgment and Sentencing date of
August 4, 2005 be set.

Ms. Finch-Brooks attorney, Rachelle Barbour is out on leave. This
case was re-assigned to Jeff Staniels who is out of country and will
not return until the end of the month.

29 ////
30 ////
31

It is further stipulated and agreed between the parties that the period beginning July 14, 2005 to August 4, 2005, should be excluded in computing the time within which the trial of the above criminal prosecution must commence for purposes of the Speedy Trial Act for defense preparation. All parties stipulate and agree that this is an appropriate exclusion of time within the meaning of Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(8)(iv) (Local Code T4) and that the ends of justice to be served by a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Dated: July 13, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

QUIN DENVER
Federal Defender

/S/LINDA HARTER

LINDA HARTER
Assistant Federal Defender
Attorney for Defendant
STEPHANIE FINCH-BROOKS

MCGREGOR W. SCOTT
United States Attorney

Dated: July 14, 2005

BY: /S/MATTHEW S. BLOCK
MATTHEW S. BLOCK
Certified Law Student

ORDER

Based on the parties' stipulation and good cause appearing therefrom, the Court hereby adopts the stipulation of the parties in its entirety as its order. The Court specifically finds that the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 13, 2005.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE